

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOSEPH EUGENE DAMRILL,)
)
Plaintiff,) Civil Case No. 07-1634-ST
)
vs.) ORDER
)
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner,)
Social Security Administration,)
)
Defendant.)

)

George J. Wall
1336 E. Burnside Street, Suite 130
Portland, Oregon 97214

Attorney for Plaintiff

Karin J. Immergut
United States Attorney
District of Oregon
Britannia I. Hobbs
Assistant United States Attorney
1000 S. W. Third Avenue, Suite 600
Portland, Oregon 97204-2902

Daphne Banay
Special Assistant United States Attorney
Social Security Administration
701 5th Avenue, Suite 2900 M/S 901
Seattle, Washington 98104-7075

Attorneys for Defendant

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Janice Stewart, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and Recommendation on September 2, 2008. The matter is before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Plaintiff has filed objections, and the Commissioner has filed a response.

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate's Findings and Recommendation concerning a dispositive motion or prisoner petition, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Having given a *de novo* review of the issues raised in the objections to the Findings and Recommendation, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (#12). The decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED.

Dated this _____ 21st _____ day of October, 2008.

/s/ Garr M. King
Garr M. King
United States District Judge